



**USAID**  
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE



# Maternal and Newborn Indicator Validation Study in Mozambique

**A collaboration between  
Maternal Child Health Integrated Program (MCHIP),  
Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group (CHERG),  
and Mozambique Ministry of Health**

C. Stanton (CHERG), B. Rawlins (MCHIP), M. Drake (MCHIP), M. Dos Anjos (MCHIP), L. Chavane (MCHIP), D. Cantor (MCHIP), M. Vaz (MCHIP), L. Chongo (MZ MOH), J. Ricca (MCHIP)

# Why the study was conducted

“*Skilled birth attendant*” is the core indicator for global monitoring of maternal health care around the time of birth

- This indicator describes health system contact but not content of care
- Additional information on women’s health care is needed for country level planning

# Study Objective

To test the validity of women's recall of possible new content of care indicators for interventions delivered around the time of birth

# Criteria for Judging Validity of Indicators

We answered two complementary questions concerning validity of the indicators tested:

## 1. What is the accuracy of *individual recall*?

That is, how accurately does the indicator reflect the status of each individual surveyed? This depends on the sensitivity and specificity of the question.

## 2. What is the accuracy of the *population estimate*?

That is, how accurately does the indicator estimate the true prevalence as measured during previous direct observation of care? This additionally depends on the prevalence.

# Study Design

**Step 1: Observe Labor & Delivery Care (525 labors/births observed in 46 facilities across MZ in Quality of Care Study)**



**Step 2: Wait for 8-10 months**

**Step 3: Conduct household interviews**

- 1) Standard DHS/MICS questions
- 2) Additional questions

**Step 4: Compare, determining validity of respondents' reports**

# Sample Characteristics

Socio-demographic Characteristics	Maternal Recall Survey	MICS 2008
<b>AGE</b>		
13-19	17.8	17.6
20-24	33.9	29.3
25-29	22.4	23.0
30-34	15.1	16.3
35-39	8.6	10.5
40-44	2.0	2.5
44-49	0.3	0.8
<b>EDUCATION</b>		
None	12.8	23.8
Primary	55.6	62.2
Secondary or higher	31.6	13.4
Don't know/missing	0.0	0.7
<b>RESIDENCE</b>		
Urban	54.0	39.9
Rural	43.1	60.1
Missing	3.0	0.0



# Indicators with sufficient data for validation

17 indicators tested for possible inclusion in national household surveys:

- 3 already measured in DHS/MICS (delivery location, immediate breastfeeding, immediate breastfeeding)
- 14 candidates for addition to DHS/MICS

17 other indicators of possible interest for use in special or in-depth studies

# Indicators that Met Both Individual and Population Accuracy Criteria

INDICATOR	Individual Accuracy	Population Accuracy
Woman delivered in a hospital versus a health center ( <i>Contact; on DHS/MICS</i> )	+	+
Woman had a companion present during the labor or delivery ( <i>Content</i> )	+	+
Newborn is placed skin to skin on mother's chest ( <i>Content</i> )	+	+



# Indicators that Met Population Accuracy Criterion Only

INDICATOR	Individual Accuracy	Population Accuracy
Woman had her blood pressure taken	NO	+
Woman received fundal massage after delivery of the placenta	NO	+
Newborn dried & wrapped in towel/cloth (among those not placed skin-to-skin)	NO	+
Newborn immediately dried	NO	+

# Maternal Indicators Not Recommended for Household Surveys

## HIV/PMTCT:

- Woman asked about her HIV status

## Active Management of Third Stage of Labor:

- Active management of third stage of labor
- Woman received a uterotonic within 3 (a few) minutes after birth of baby
- Woman received controlled cord traction

# Newborn Indicators Not Recommended for Household Surveys

## Immediate Breastfeeding:

- Breastfeeding of newborn initiated within one hour of birth

## Newborn Thermal Care:

- Newborn immediately dried, placed skin to skin and covered with a towel/cloth
- Newborn is placed skin to skin on mother covered with a cloth
- Newborn is wrapped in a towel/cloth

# Indicators that could not be tested due to insufficient sample size

- **Woman delivered by cesarean section** (*on DHS/MICS*)
- **Woman asked for urine sample upon arrival at the health facility**

# Study Strengths

- Close to a nationally representative sample
- Reference standard was direct observation, rather than chart review
- Follow up period comparable to MICS (study average = 9 months vs. MICS average = 12 months)
- 11 of 12 interviewers were recent DHS interviewers, closely mimicking conditions of DHS/MICS data collection.

# Study Limitations

- Difficulty with follow up: 1 /3 of women could not be located for follow-up interview
- Some bias of sample toward more educated, urban, young women – so it likely overestimates accuracy of recall
- Could not validate 2 indicators of high interest due to small sample size in the observed births

# Conclusions / Recommendations (1)

## Indicators validated for household surveys:

- Contact indicator on location of delivery (hospital or health center) already present in DHS/MICS core questionnaires
- 2 new Content indicators recommended
  - Presence of a support person during labor/delivery
  - Placement of the newborn skin-to-skin

# Conclusions / Recommendations (2)

**This is the first published validation study on maternal recall of routine care provided during labor, delivery, and the immediate post-partum period in a low resource setting.**

- Further studies needed, especially in other contexts. Population Council is planning a study in Africa and Latin America
- We hypothesize that if women are more informed about aspects of labor and delivery care, their recall will be more accurate
- Qualitative research may assist in improving the formulation of some questions. Some questions are complex and refer to specific time periods.



**Thank you  
for you attention.**